

The Lyapunov exponent in the Sinai billiard in the small scatterer limit

Per Dahlqvist

Mechanics Department

Royal Institute of Technology, S-100 44 Stockholm, Sweden

Abstract - We show that Lyapunov exponent for the Sinai billiard is $\lambda = -2 \log(R) + C + O(R \log^2 R)$ with $C = 1 - 4 \log 2 + 27/(2\pi^2) \cdot \zeta(3)$ where R is the radius of the circular scatterer. We consider the disk-to-disk-map of the standard configuration where the disks is centered inside a unit square.

1 Introduction

In this paper we will confirm, and make more precise a widely believed conjecture concerning the Lyapunov exponent of the Sinai billiard in the small scatterer limit. We will find that the Lyapunov exponent for the disk to disk map is $\lambda = -2 \log(R) + C + O(R \log^2 R)$ and derive an exact value of C for a particular configuration of the billiard, see below. The Lyapunov number is known to be well defined for this system [1]. There has been a lot of good argument for the term $-2 \log R$ [2, 3, 4] but the constant term has shown harder to approach.

The (largest) Lyapunov exponent is defined by

$$\lambda = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log |\Lambda(x_0, n)| \quad , \quad (1)$$

defined for almost all initial points x_0 . $\Lambda(x_0, n)$ is the largest eigenvalue of the Jacobian of the n 'th iterate of the map.

If the map is one-dimensional $x \mapsto f(x)$ then

$$\lambda = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log |f^n(x_0)| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \log |f'(x_i)| \quad , \quad (2)$$

which we, assuming ergodicity, can rewrite as

$$\lambda = \int \log |f'(x)| \rho(x) dx \quad , \quad (3)$$

where $\rho(x)$ is the invariant density. The problem is that $\rho(x)$ is usually unknown.

For an area-preserving map, as we will study, the problem is the reverse. The invariant measure is known (it is uniform) but $\Lambda(x_0, n)$ does not have the simple multiplicative property.

Our strategy will be the following. We will first define a multiplicative weight giving the correct $R \rightarrow 0$ behaviour of the Lyapunov exponent. Then we will use the uniform measure to compute this limit. Central to the development is the distribution of recurrence times and the majority of the paper will be spent on its derivation.

We will consider the *standard* configuration of the Sinai billiard (although some of our considerations apply to slightly more general cases), a unit square with a circular disk with radius R centered on its midpoint. We will study the disk to disk map, the Lyapunov exponent for the flow is available via Abramov's formula [5].

2 Calculations

2.1 Reducing the problem to one iterate of the map

We are interested in computing the largest eigenvalue of the Jacobian of an arbitrary long trajectory. For the time being, we consider a rather general dispersive billiard with a circular disk with radius R inside a polygon and the associated disk to disk map. The disk does not touch the polygon. The Jacobian associated with one iterate of the map is, see e.g. [6]

$$J_i \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial y'}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial y'}{\partial p_y} \\ \frac{\partial p'_y}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial p'_y}{\partial p_y} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \frac{2l_i}{R \cos \alpha_i} & l_i \\ \frac{2}{R \cos \alpha_i} & 1 \end{pmatrix} , \quad (4)$$

using a coordinate system following the trajectory - y being the transverse coordinate. l_i is the traveling distance and α_i is the angle with respect to the normal. We have omitted an overall sign of J which depend on the number of times the particle hits the polygon during the disk to disk flight. For an area preserving mapping it is sufficient to know the trace of the Jacobian in order to compute the eigenvalues so we are interested in computing the trace of a product of matrices $\prod_{i=1}^n J_i$. We want to expand this trace in power series in R since we want to extract the $R \rightarrow 0$ limit of the Lyapunov exponent. To this end it is convenient to split up J_i into the following sum

$$J_i = \frac{2l_i}{R \cos \alpha_i} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1/l_i & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{R \cos \alpha_i}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1/l_i & 1 \\ 0 & 1/l_i \end{pmatrix} \right\} , \quad (5)$$

or

$$J_i = \frac{2l_i}{R \cos \alpha_i} \left\{ A_i + \frac{R \cos \alpha_i}{2} B_i \right\} , \quad (6)$$

with

$$A_i = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1/l_i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad B_i = \begin{pmatrix} 1/l_i & 1 \\ 0 & 1/l_i \end{pmatrix} . \quad (7)$$

We write the trace as

$$\text{Tr} \prod_{i=1}^n J_i = \Psi_n \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{2l_i}{R \cos(\alpha_i)} , \quad (8)$$

with

$$\Psi_n = \text{Tr} \prod_{i=1}^n \left(A_i + \frac{R \cos \alpha_i}{2} B_i \right) . \quad (9)$$

The idea is now to show that Ψ_n is bound close to unity when $R \rightarrow 0$ and $n \rightarrow \infty$. First we use the fact that all matrix elements are strictly positive and $\cos \alpha < 1$, so

$$1 = \text{Tr} \prod_{i=1}^n A_i \leq \Psi_n \leq \text{Tr} \prod_{i=1}^n (A_i + \frac{R}{2} B_i) \equiv \Phi_n . \quad (10)$$

We expand Φ_n in powers of R

$$\Phi_n = a_{0,n} + a_{1,n} R \dots a_{n,n} R^n , \quad (11)$$

where for instance

$$a_{0,n} = 1 \quad (12)$$

and

$$a_{1,n} = \text{Tr}(B_1 A_2 \dots A_n) + \text{Tr}(A_1 B_2 \dots A_n) \dots + \text{Tr}(A_1 \dots A_{n-1} B_n) \quad (13)$$

etc. The coefficient $a_{m,n}$ is a sum over $\binom{n}{m}$ combinations of A's and B's. A trace of such a combination in $a_{m,n}$ is a sum of terms like $1/(l_{i_1} l_{i_2} \dots l_{i_m})$. As all $l_i \geq l_{\min}$ such a term is $1/(l_{i_1} l_{i_2} \dots l_{i_m}) \leq 1/l_{\min}^m$. We can thus write

$$\Phi_n \leq 1 + \hat{a}_{1,n} \left(\frac{R}{l_{\min}}\right) + \hat{a}_{m,n} \left(\frac{R}{l_{\min}}\right)^m + \hat{a}_{n,n} \left(\frac{R}{l_{\min}}\right)^n , \quad (14)$$

where

$$\hat{a}_{1,n} = \text{Tr}(\hat{B} \hat{A}^{n-1}) + \text{Tr}(\hat{A} \hat{B} \hat{A}^{n-2}) \dots + \text{Tr}(\hat{A}^{n-1} \hat{B}) , \quad (15)$$

with

$$\hat{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \hat{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} . \quad (16)$$

Let us now take the trace of a particular combination of $\hat{A}$'s and $\hat{B}$'s. This can always be organized as

$$\text{Tr}(\hat{A}^{j_1} \hat{B}^{k_1} \hat{A}^{j_2} \hat{B}^{k_2} \dots \hat{A}^{j_L} \hat{B}^{k_L}) , \quad (17)$$

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^L (j_\alpha + k_\alpha) = n , \quad \sum_{\alpha=1}^L k_\alpha = m . \quad (18)$$

The matrix $\hat{A}$ obey $\hat{A}^n = \hat{A}$ so we reorganize the trace above as

$$\text{Tr}(\hat{A} \hat{B}^{k_1} \hat{A} \hat{B}^{k_2} \dots \hat{A} \hat{B}^{k_L} \hat{A}) . \quad (19)$$

We also have $\hat{A} \hat{B}^k \hat{A} = (k+1) \hat{A}$ so the trace above is bounded by

$$\text{Tr}(\hat{A} \hat{B}^{k_1} \hat{A} \hat{B}^{k_2} \dots \hat{A} \hat{B}^{k_L} \hat{A}) = \prod_{\alpha=1}^L (k_\alpha + 1) \leq 2^m . \quad (20)$$

So we finally have the following bound on Φ_n

$$1 \leq \Psi_n \leq \Phi_n \leq 1 + \binom{n}{1} \left(\frac{2R}{l_{\min}}\right) + \binom{n}{m} \left(\frac{2R}{l_{\min}}\right)^m + \dots + \binom{n}{n} \left(\frac{2R}{l_{\min}}\right)^n = \left(1 + \frac{2R}{l_{\min}}\right)^n . \quad (21)$$

Let us now recall the definition of the Lyapunov exponent

$$\lambda = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log |\Lambda(x_0, n)| . \quad (22)$$

The trace $\text{Tr}J(x_0, n)$ for an area preserving mapping is $\text{Tr}J(x_0, n) = \Lambda(x_0, n) + 1/\Lambda(x_0, n)$ so for large n we have, provided that the limit above exist, $|\Lambda(x_0, n)| = |\text{Tr}J(x_0, n)| + \text{exponentially small terms}$. Using eq. (8) we get

$$\lambda = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{2l_i}{R \cos \alpha_i} \right) + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \Psi \quad , \quad (23)$$

and according to eq. (21) we get $0 < \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \Psi < 2R/l_{\min}$. and

$$\lambda = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \log \left(\frac{2l_i}{R \cos \alpha_i} \right) + O(R) \quad , \quad (24)$$

saying that, to leading order, the Lyapunov exponent is just the arithmetic average of a scalar. This enables us to rewrite it as phase space average

$$\lambda = \int \log \left| \frac{2l_s(x_s)}{R \cos \alpha(x_s)} \right| dx_s + O(R) \quad , \quad (25)$$

where $l_s(x_s)$ is the travel length to the next disk bounce and $\alpha(x_s)$ the scattering angle as functions of the phase space point x_s on the disk. We choose as coordinates for x_s the scattering angle α and an angle ϕ measured along the rim of the disk. The invariant measure is then $dx_s = d\phi d(\sin \alpha)/4\pi$.

2.2 Relating the Lyapunov to the distribution of recurrence times

We can now divide the phase space integrals above into several terms

$$\lambda = \int \log(2/R) dx_s - \int \log \cos \alpha(x_s) dx_s + \int \log l_s(x_s) dx_s + O(R) \quad . \quad (26)$$

The first term is trivially $= \log(2/R)$. The second term is also easily computed

$$\int \log \cos \alpha(x_s) dx_s = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \log(\cos \alpha) d(\sin \alpha) = \log(2) - 1 \quad . \quad (27)$$

To evaluate the third term we insert the identity $1 = \int \delta(l - l_s(x_s)) dl$

$$\int \log l_s(x_s) dx_s = \int dl \int dx_s \log(l_s(x_s)) \delta(l - l_s(x_s)) = \int dl \log(l) p(l) \quad , \quad (28)$$

where

$$p(l) = \int dx_s \delta(l - l_s(x_s)) \quad (29)$$

is the distribution of recurrence times. We are going compute the small R limit of this function for the standard version of the Sinai billiard, with the disk placed at the center of a unit square. We will discover that if we formulate $p(l)$ in terms of the rescaled variable $\xi = 2Rl$ then $p(l(\xi))$ will have a well defined limit (if we provide $p(l)$ with some smearing) when R gets small, and the following function

$$c(R) = \int \log \xi \cdot p(l(\xi)) d\xi / 2R \quad (30)$$

will have a well defined limit and the Lyapunov exponent will be

$$\lambda = -2 \log R - \log 2 + 1 + c(R) + O(R) \quad . \quad (31)$$

The whole problem thus lies in computing the function $p(l(\xi))$ in the limit of small R .

2.3 Calculation of the distribution of recurrence times

It is much more easy to grasp the geometry of the billiard if it is represented as a regular Lorentz gas on a square lattice. The midpoints of the disks are sitting on lattice points in an integer lattice. To each lattice point is associated a lattice vector $\mathbf{q} = (m, n)$. An immediate observation is that only disks represented by coprime lattice vectors ($\gcd(m, n) = 1$ where *gcd* means *greatest common divisor*) may be reached. We send out a ray from the $(0, 0)$ disk. The phase space of the surface of section can now be partitioned into subsets $\Omega_{\mathbf{q}}$; a phase space point $x_s \in \Omega_{\mathbf{q}}$ if the trajectory starting at x_s hits disk $\mathbf{q}$.

For finite disk radii not all coprime disks are accessible, some $\Omega_{\mathbf{q}} = \emptyset$. To deal with this problem we will first construct a scheme for enumerating the coprimes.

2.3.1 Enumerating coprimes, or climbing the Farey tree

Without any loss a generality we restrict the considerations to the lattice points (m, n) in the first octant, that is $m \geq n \geq 0$. Because of the restriction $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ there is a one-to-one correspondence between these coprime lattice vectors $\mathbf{q} = (m, n)$ and rational numbers n/m .

The set of all coprime vectors $\mathbf{q} = (m, n)$ with $m \leq M$ is called the *Farey sequence* of order M . Next define the cross-product between $\mathbf{q}_1 = (m_1, n_1)$ and $\mathbf{q}_2 = (m_2, n_2)$ as $\mathbf{q}_1 \times \mathbf{q}_2 = m_1 n_2 - n_1 m_2$. Consider a vector $\mathbf{q} = (m, n)$ and draw a line from $(0, 0)$ to it. Call the lattice point closest to the line and above $\mathbf{q}'$, and below is $\mathbf{q}''$ the neighbors of $\mathbf{q}$ in the Farey sequence of order m . The Farey theorem then states that $\mathbf{q} \times \mathbf{q}' = +1$ and (for symmetry reasons) $\mathbf{q} \times \mathbf{q}'' = -1$. Geometrically this means that the distance from $\mathbf{q}'$ and $\mathbf{q}''$ to the line is $1/|\mathbf{q}|$. A consequence of Farey's theorem and the fact that $\mathbf{q}$ is coprime is that $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{q}' + \mathbf{q}''$. We will call $\mathbf{q}'$ and $\mathbf{q}''$ the mother and father of $\mathbf{q}$.

The coprime lattice vectors, or the rationals, may be organized in the Farey tree [7], see fig 1a. There is a link between two vectors $\mathbf{q}'$ and $\mathbf{q}''$ if and only if they are Farey neighbors, that is $|\mathbf{q}' \times \mathbf{q}''| = 1$. From each vector there are two links going upwards (towards the root as the tree is drawn upside down), one up to the right to the mother and one up to the left to the father. One of the parents lie on an adjacent level, the other is not.

We now claim the following:

Every coprime lattice vector $\mathbf{q}$, except $(1, 0)$, $(2, 1)$ and $(1, 1)$, can uniquely be written as $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{q}' + n\mathbf{q}_c$ where $n \geq 2$ and $\mathbf{q}'$ is the mother or father of $\mathbf{q}_c$

Let's assume it is possible and show how to do the construction. Call $\mathbf{q} \equiv \mathbf{q}_n = \mathbf{q}' + n\mathbf{q}_c$. By assumption we have $|\mathbf{q}' \times \mathbf{q}_c| = 1$. It follows that $\mathbf{q}_n$ is linked to $\mathbf{q}_c$ for all n . It also follows that $\mathbf{q}_n$ is linked to $\mathbf{q}_{n-1}$ which is linked to $\mathbf{q}_{n-2}$ etc. The genealogy must thus be as in fig 1b.

So, given a vector $\mathbf{q}$ we do as follows to uniquely determine n , $\mathbf{q}_c$ and $\mathbf{q}'$. First we identify the parents of $\mathbf{q}$. One is on the level just above but the other is not. The rule $n \neq 1$ force us to choose the other as $\mathbf{q}_c$. Now we construct $\mathbf{q}_{n-1}$, $\mathbf{q}_{n-2}$ iteratively until we reach $\mathbf{q}_{n-m} = \mathbf{q}_0 = \mathbf{q}'$ for some m . It is easy to identify when this happen, since $\mathbf{q}_1$ is on a level below $\mathbf{q}_c$ and $\mathbf{q}_0$ is located above it. (Note that the dashed line in fig 2b is forbidden so this stopping criterion is indeed unique).

2.3.2 The size of $\Omega_{\mathbf{q}}$

We want to compute the distribution (29). We then split up the phase space integral according to the partition into subsets $\Omega_{\mathbf{q}}$

$$p(l) = \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{q}}} \delta(l - l_s(x_s)) dx_s \quad . \quad (32)$$

where the sum runs over all coprime lattice vectors. We will now smear this distribution

$$p_\sigma(l) = \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{q}}} \delta_\sigma(l - l_s(x_s)) dx_s \quad . \quad (33)$$

The smearing functions $\delta_\sigma(x)$ may be choosen as gaussians (this is not essential, the important thing is that the flanks decay at least exponentially). The mean and variance is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \int x \delta_\sigma(x) dx &= O(\sigma) \\ \int x^2 \delta_\sigma(x) dx &= O(\sigma^2) \end{aligned} \quad . \quad (34)$$

The exact mean and variance is left undeterminate and may be different from one place to another. This will enable us to write equalities such as $\delta_\sigma(x + \epsilon) = \delta_\sigma(x)$ as long as ϵ does not exceed σ in magnitude.

We will choose $\sigma \sim 1$. We will eventually consider $p_\sigma(l)$ as a function of $\xi = 2Rl$. The smearing width in ξ -space is thus $\sim R$. The goal is to average $\log \xi$ over $p(l(\xi))$. The error induced by exchanging $p(l)$ with the smeared version $p_\sigma(l)$ will be discussed in section 2.4.

We will also assume some ambiguity concerning the normalization of the smearing functions

$$\int \delta_\sigma(x) dx = 1 + O(R) \quad . \quad (35)$$

We will now rewrite eq. (34) using our conventions on the smearing function. If $x_s \in \Omega_{\mathbf{q}}$ then $l_s(x_s) = q + O(R)$ and we write

$$p_\sigma(l) = \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{q}}} \delta_\sigma(l - q + O(R)) = \sum_{\mathbf{q}} a_{\mathbf{q}} \delta_\sigma(l - q) \quad . \quad (36)$$

where

$$a_{\mathbf{q}} = \int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{q}}} dx_s \quad (37)$$

and $q = |\mathbf{q}|$. Our goal here is to compute $a_{\mathbf{q}}$.

Consider a trajectory hitting disk $\mathbf{q}$. The relation between the phase space variables ϕ and α and the scattering angle $\beta_{\mathbf{q}}$ on disk $\mathbf{q}$ is (see fig 2).

$$\frac{q}{R} \sin(\phi - \theta_{\mathbf{q}} - \alpha) + \sin \alpha = \sin \beta_{\mathbf{q}} \quad , \quad (38)$$

where $\theta_{\mathbf{q}}$ is the polar angle of the lattice vector $\mathbf{q}$. Provided that there are no interposed disks $\Omega_{\mathbf{q}}$ is given by $-1 < \sin \beta_{\mathbf{q}} < 1$ and $-1 < \sin \alpha < 1$. Expanding $\sin(\phi - \theta_{\mathbf{q}} - \alpha)$ we get

$$\frac{q}{R} (\phi - \theta_{\mathbf{q}} - \alpha) + O(R^2/q^2) + \sin \alpha = \sin \beta_{\mathbf{q}} \quad , \quad (39)$$

It is convenient to change integration variables in $dx_s = d\phi d(\sin \alpha)$ from $(\phi, \sin(\alpha))$ to $(\sin(\beta_{\mathbf{q}}), \sin(\alpha))$. We thus get

$$dx_s = \frac{R}{4\pi q} d(\sin \alpha) d(\sin \beta_{\mathbf{q}}) (1 + O(R^2/q^2)) \quad . \quad (40)$$

First consider the case $q < 1/2R$:

As we showed in ref. [10] disk $\mathbf{q}$ is then fully accessible and

$$a_q = \frac{R}{\pi q} (1 + O(R^2)) \quad , \quad q < \frac{1}{2R} \quad . \quad (41)$$

Next consider disks lying beyond the horizon $q > 1/2R$:

We make use of the results of section 2.3.1 and write $\mathbf{q}_n = \mathbf{q}' + n\mathbf{q}_c$. We say that disk $\mathbf{q}_n$ lies in the $\mathbf{q}_c$ corridor. Note that to each $\mathbf{q}_c$ the corresponds two $\mathbf{q}'$ one with smaller and one with larger polar angle (preceeding and succeeding $\mathbf{q}_c$ in the appropriate Farey sequence). Below we assume that $\mathbf{q}'$ is the one with the larger polar angle, the other case is completely analogous. The geometry in the $\mathbf{q}_c$ corridor is illustrated in fig 3. If $\Omega_{\mathbf{q}_n}$ were not obscured it would be given by

$$\frac{q_n}{R}(\phi - \alpha) + O(R^4) + \sin \alpha = \sin \beta_{\mathbf{q}_n} \quad , \quad (42)$$

with $q_n = |\mathbf{q}' + n\mathbf{q}_c|$. Without loss of generality we can set $\theta_{\mathbf{q}_n} = 0$. The reason why $O(R^2/q_n^2)$ in (39) becomes $O(R^4)$ here is that $q_n > 1/2R$ by assumption. From now on we set $\beta_{\mathbf{q}_n} \equiv \beta$ as there is no risk of confusion.

The disk $\mathbf{q}_n$ under observation is shadowed only by two disks, namely $\mathbf{q}_{n-1}$ and $\mathbf{q}_c$. The question is now where the phase space of these cut through the (α, β) -plane corresponding to $\mathbf{q}_n$. First we consider $\mathbf{q}_c$. The relevant border is described by an equation obtained from (39).

$$\frac{q_c}{R}(\phi + \gamma_n - \alpha) + O(R^4) + \sin \alpha = 1 \quad , \quad (43)$$

We now combine (42) and (43) to eliminate ϕ and get

$$q_n(1 + O(R^4)) = q_c \sin \beta + (q_n - q_c) \sin \alpha + \frac{1}{R} q_c q_n \gamma_n \quad . \quad (44)$$

With some elementary geometry one may show that $q_c q_n \gamma_n = 1 + O(R^2)$ (to get the $O(R^2)$ correction one has to use that $q_c \geq 1$ and $q_n \leq 1/2R$), so

$$q_n(1 + O(R^4)) = q_c \sin \beta + (q_n - q_c) \sin \alpha + \frac{1}{R}(1 + O(R^2)) \quad . \quad (45)$$

Next we do the same thing with $\mathbf{q}_{n-1}$. The equation for the borderline is

$$\frac{q_{n-1}}{R}(\phi - (\gamma_{n-1} - \gamma_n) - \alpha) + \sin \alpha + O(R^4) = -1 \quad . \quad (46)$$

Combining (46) and (42) we get

$$-q_n(1 + O(R^4)) = q_{n-1}(\sin \beta - \sin \alpha) + q_n \sin \alpha - \frac{1}{R} q_{n-1} q_n (\gamma_{n-1} - \gamma_n) \quad . \quad (47)$$

Another geometry exercise shows that $q_{n-1} = q_n - q + O(R^2)$ and $q_{n-1} q_n (\gamma_{n-1} - \gamma_n) = 1 + O(R^4)$ so we arrive at

$$-q_n(1 + O(R^4)) = (q_n - q_c) \sin \beta + q_c \sin \alpha - \frac{1}{R}(1 + O(R^4)) \quad . \quad (48)$$

To leading order in R (45) and (47) describe straight lines cutting through the $(\sin \beta, \sin \alpha)$ -plane. Provided that $q_c < 1/2R$ there is a non vanishing area in upper-left corner in the $(\sin \beta, \sin \alpha)$ -plane for any q_n (lower left corner if $\mathbf{q}'$ is chosen as the Farey predecessor). So we arrive at the following results

$$a_q = \frac{R}{\pi q} (1 + O(R^2)) \cdot \begin{cases} 1 & q < \frac{1}{2R} \\ (1 - \frac{(1/2R - q)^2}{q_c(q - q_c)}) & \frac{1}{2R} < q < \frac{1}{2R} + q_c \\ \frac{(1/2R - q_c)^2}{(q - q_c)(q - 2q_c)} & \frac{1}{2R} + q_c < q \end{cases} \quad (49)$$

2.3.3 The density of coprimes

The derivation below is very similar to the standard derivation of the asymptotic behaviour of sums over Eulers totient function $\sum_{n=1}^N \varphi(n)$, for details see e.g. [8].

We are interested in the number of coprime lattice points inside a certain radius r (in this section we do not restrict the consideration to the first octant)

$$N(r) = \sum_{\substack{m,n \\ m^2 + n^2 \leq r^2 \\ \gcd(m,n) = 1}} 1 . \quad (50)$$

We are going to relate this function the total number of lattice points inside the circle of radius r

$$R(r) = \sum_{\substack{m,n \\ m^2 + n^2 \leq r^2}} 1 . \quad (51)$$

This is possible since any vector (m, n) may uniquely be written as $(m, n) = d \cdot (\hat{m}, \hat{n})$ where $d = \gcd(m, n)$ and $(\hat{m}, \hat{n})$ are coprime. we can thus write

$$R(r) = \sum_{d=1}^r N(r/d) , \quad (52)$$

Using Moebius inversion theorem we get

$$N(r) = \sum_{m=1}^r \mu(m) R(r/m) , \quad (53)$$

where $\mu(m)$ is Moebius function. The function $R(r)$ is

$$R(r) = \pi r^2 + E(r) , \quad (54)$$

where the error term, according to a theorem of Sierpinski, is $E(r) = O(r^{2/3})$ which means that there exist a constant C such that $|E(r)| < C r^{2/3}$ for all $r > 1$. Combining the two previous expression we get

$$N(r) = \pi r^2 \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \mu(m) \frac{1}{m^2} - \sum_{m=r+1}^{\infty} \mu(m) \frac{1}{m^2} \right) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \mu(m) E(r/m) , \quad (55)$$

where the first infinite sum is related to Riemann's zeta function $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \mu(m)/m^2 = 1/\zeta(2) = 6/\pi^2$. Now to the second term

$$\left| \sum_{m=r+1}^{\infty} \mu(m) \frac{1}{m^2} \right| < \sum_{m=r+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^2} = O(1/r) , \quad (56)$$

where we used $|\mu(m)| \leq 1$. The third sum may be given a similar bound

$$\left| \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \mu(m) E(r/m) \right| < \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |E(r/m)| < C r^{2/3} \sum_{m=1}^r \frac{1}{m^{2/3}} = O(r) . \quad (57)$$

So we arrive at the result

$$N(r) = \frac{6}{\pi} r^2 + O(r) . \quad (58)$$

We will use the derivative of this function, which is the mean density of coprimes with respect to the radius

$$d_c(r) = \frac{dN(r)}{dr} = \frac{12r}{\pi} + O(1) . \quad (59)$$

One should of course be careful when integrating asymptotic series like this, but the procedure above is legitimate for any smearing of the exact $N(r)$.

2.3.4 Assembling the pieces

In this section we are going sum up the different contributions $a_{\mathbf{q}}$ computed in section 2.3.2 by means of the means density of coprimes computed in section 2.3.3.

First we consider the case $l < 1/2R$.

Then $a_{\mathbf{q}}$ is a function of q only: $a_{\mathbf{q}} = a(q) = R/(\pi q) \cdot (1 + O(R^2))$, cf. eq. (49)

$$\begin{aligned} p_{\sigma}(l) &= \sum_{\mathbf{q}} a_{\mathbf{q}} \delta_{\sigma}(l - q) = \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \frac{R}{\pi q} \cdot (1 + O(R^2)) \delta_{\sigma}(l - q) \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \frac{R}{\pi q} \delta_{\sigma}(l - q) = d_c(l) \frac{R}{\pi l} = \frac{12R}{\pi^2} + R \cdot O(1/l) . \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

We appealed to eq (35) to get rid of the $O(R^2)$ term in going from the first to the second line above. We reformulate the last expression slightly

$$p_{\sigma}(l(\xi)) = \frac{12R}{\pi^2} + O(R^2) \cdot O(1/\xi) \quad 2R < \xi < 1 , \quad (61)$$

where $\xi = 2Rl$.

Next we consider the *transition region* $1/2R < l < 1/R$.

We then use the result of section 2.3.2 to write $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{q}' + n\mathbf{q}_c$. According to eq. (49) the amplitudes $a_{\mathbf{q}}$ depend on the size of corridor $q_c = |\mathbf{q}_c|$ and the length of $\mathbf{q}$: $a_{\mathbf{q}} = a(q_c, q)$. The length q is $q = \Delta q_c + nq_c + O(R)$, where Δ is defined in fig 3, it is a function of $\mathbf{q}_c$. We get

$$\begin{aligned} p_{\sigma}(l) &= 2 \sum_{\mathbf{q}_c} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a(q_c, q) = \delta_{\sigma}(l - q) \\ &= 2 \sum_{\mathbf{q}_c} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a(q_c, q) \delta_{\sigma}(l - q_c n - a + O(R)) , \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

where we inserted the factor 2 to account for both parents $\mathbf{q}'$ and $\mathbf{q}''$ of $\mathbf{q}_c$. The $O(R)$ in the argument gets swallowed by the width of the delta function. Now we will turn the sum over $\mathbf{q}_c$ into an integral over the density of coprime lattice vectors

$$p_{\sigma}(l) = 2 \int_0^{1/2R} dq_c d_c(q_c) a(q_c, l) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \int_0^1 d\Delta f_{q_c}(\Delta) \delta_{\sigma}(l - q_c n - q_c \Delta) , \quad (63)$$

where $f_{q_c}(\Delta)$ is the distribution of the parameter Δ . Since this gets smeared by the width $\sigma/q_c \sim 1/q_c$ the results of the Appendix can be applied and $f_{q_c}(\Delta)$ can be replaced by a uniform distribution $f_{q_c}(\Delta) \sim 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
p_\sigma(l) &= 2 \int_0^{1/2R} dq_c d_c(q_c) a(q_c, l) \int_2^\infty d\eta \delta_\sigma(l - q_c \eta) \\
&= 2 \int_0^{1/2R} dq_c d_c(q_c) a(q_c, l) \frac{1}{q_c} \theta_\sigma(l - 2q_c) \\
&= 2 \int_0^{\min(1/2R, l/2)} dq_c d_c(q_c) a(q_c, l) \frac{1}{q_c} ,
\end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

where $\theta_\sigma(x)$ is a smeared step function. The restriction the the range $l < 1/R$ implies that $\min(1/2R, l/2) = l/2$. Next we insert the expression for $a(q_c, q)$ from eqs. (49). We then dispose of the $O(R^2)$ as before and for the moment suffice with leading term of $d_c(q_c)$

$$\begin{aligned}
p_\sigma(l) &= 2 \int_{l-1/2R}^{l/2} dq_c \frac{12q_c}{\pi} \frac{1}{q_c} \frac{R}{\pi l} \left(1 - \frac{(1/2R - l)^2}{q_c(l - q_c)}\right) + \\
&2 \int_0^{l-1/2R} dq_c \frac{12q_c}{\pi} \frac{1}{q_c} \frac{R}{\pi l} \frac{(1/2R - q_c)^2}{(l - q_c)(l - 2q_c)} + E(\xi) .
\end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

We change integration variable to $\eta = 2Rq_c$ and use as before $\xi = 2Rl$ and we have to solve the integral

$$\begin{aligned}
p_\sigma(l(\xi)) &= \frac{24R}{\pi^2} \left(\int_{\xi-1}^{\xi/2} \frac{d\eta}{\xi} \left(1 - \frac{(1-\xi)^2}{\eta(\xi-\eta)}\right) + \int_0^{\xi-1} \frac{d\eta}{\xi} \frac{(1-\eta)^2}{(\xi-\eta)(\xi-2\eta)} \right) + E(\xi) = \\
&\frac{6R}{\pi^2 \xi^2} (2\xi + \xi(4-3\xi) \log(\xi) + 4(\xi-1)^2 \log(\xi-1) - (2-\xi)^2 \log(2-\xi)) + E(\xi) .
\end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

Now remains only the case $l > 1/R$:

The calculation is completely analogous to the previous case and we get

$$\begin{aligned}
p_\sigma(l(\xi)) &= 2 \int_0^{1/2R} dq_c d_c(q_c) \frac{1}{q_c} a(q_c, q=l) \\
&= 2 \int_0^{1/2R} dq_c \frac{12q_c}{\pi} \frac{1}{q_c} \frac{R}{\pi l} \frac{(1/2R - q_c)^2}{(l - q_c)(l - 2q_c)} + E(\xi) \\
&= \frac{24R}{\pi^2} \int_0^1 \frac{d\eta}{\xi} \frac{(1-\eta)^2}{(\xi-\eta)(\xi-2\eta)} + E(\xi) \\
&= \frac{6R}{\pi^2 \xi^2} (2\xi + \xi(4-3\xi) \log(\xi) + 4(\xi-1)^2 \log(\xi-1) - (2-\xi)^2 \log(\xi-2)) + E(\xi) .
\end{aligned} \tag{67}$$

The $1 < \xi < 2$ and $\xi > 2$ results can be summarized by,

$$p_\sigma(l(\xi)) = \begin{cases} \frac{12R}{\pi^2} + E(\xi) & \xi < 1 \\ \frac{6R}{\pi^2 \xi^2} (2\xi + \xi(4-3\xi) \log(\xi) + 4(\xi-1)^2 \log(\xi-1) - (2-\xi)^2 \log|2-\xi|) + E(\xi) & \xi > 1 \end{cases} , \tag{68}$$

where $\xi = 2Rl$. It is instructive to perform a Laurent series expansion of $p_\sigma(l)$ for $\xi > 2$.

$$p_\sigma(l(\xi)) = \frac{48R}{\pi^2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^k - 1}{k(k+1)(k+2)} \frac{1}{\xi^{k+2}} . \quad (69)$$

We now have an excellent opportunity to check our calculations by computing the normalization factor $\int p_\sigma(l)dl$. After a minor orgy of integration it is comforting to discover that this factor is exactly unity. The expectation value $\int l p_\sigma(l)dl$ is found to be $1/2R$, which is correct up to $O(R)$.

Now remains only the error term $E(\xi)$. The remaining error is now exclusively due the $O(1)$ term in $d_c(r) = 12r/\pi + O(1)$. The case $2R \leq \xi \leq 1$ is already clear. The case $1 \leq \xi \leq 2$ is also simple since there $a_{\mathbf{q}} \leq R^2/2\pi$ so $E(\xi) = O(R^2)O(\xi^0)$. The remaining case $\xi \geq 2$ needs a little more care since we would like to keep the $1/\xi^3$ behaviour.

$$|E(\xi)| < CR^2 \int_{2R}^1 \frac{d\eta}{\eta} \frac{(1-\eta)^2}{\xi(\xi-\eta)(\xi-2\eta)} \leq \frac{2CR^2}{\xi^3} \int_{2R}^1 \frac{1-\eta}{\eta} d\eta = O(R^2 \log R)O(1/\xi^3) . \quad (70)$$

We summarize

$$E(\xi) = \begin{cases} O(R^2)O(1/\xi) & 2R < \xi < 1 \\ O(R^2)O(1) & 1 < \xi < 2 \\ O(R^2 \log R)O(1/\xi^3) & 2 < \xi \end{cases} . \quad (71)$$

2.4 The small R limit of the Lyapunov exponent

Now we will finally compute the integral $\int \log \xi \cdot p(\xi) d\xi / 2R$ without boring the reader with details. When integrate over the region $2 \leq \xi$ we use the expansion (69) and express the integral in terms of the sums

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} &= \zeta(2) = \pi^2/6 \\ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^3} &= \zeta(3) = 1.2020569031 \dots \\ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k k} &= \log 2 \\ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k k^2} &= \pi^2/12 - \frac{1}{2} \log^2 2 \\ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k k^3} &= -\log 2 \frac{\pi^2}{12} + \frac{1}{6} \log^3 2 + \frac{7}{8} \zeta(3) \end{aligned} . \quad (72)$$

After this we might as well express the rest of the integral in terms of these sums. The resulting $c(R)$ (as defined in eq (30)) is

$$c(R) = \int \log \xi p(\xi) d\xi / 2R = \int \log \xi p_\sigma(\xi) d\xi / 2R + E_1(R) = \frac{27}{2\pi^2} \zeta(3) - 3 \log 2 + E_1(R) + E_2(R) , \quad (73)$$

where $E_1(R)$ is the error induced by smearing the delta functions, cf section 2.3.2, this may be shown to be $E_1(R) = O(R \log R)$. The second error term is $E_2(R) = \int_{2R}^{\infty} \log \xi E(\xi) d\xi / 2R = O(R \log^2 R)$ where the dominating contribution comes from the vicinity of $\xi \sim 2R$.

We can now state our main result, cf. eq. (31), which is

$$\lambda = -2 \log R + C + O(R \log^2 R) , \quad (74)$$

with

$$C = 1 - 4 \log 2 + \frac{27}{2\pi^2} \zeta(3) \approx -0.12837205 . \quad (75)$$

We stress that the derivation in the appendix is still not rigorous and the error estimate $O(R \log^2 R)$ is only conjectural.

3 Discussion

We stress that the approach the considerations in section 2 applies to a large class of system but the function $p(l)$ need to be worked out for each particular geometry. The term $-2 \log R$ appears to be universal for two-dimensional Sinai-billiards [2, 3, 4]. One may use rather crude methods [9] to estimate $p(l)$ and get quite decent estimates of the constant C in eq. (74).

The distribution of recurrence times contains a lot of dynamical information, concerning e.g. correlation decay and diffusion behaviour [10, 11, 9].

I would like to thank Predrag Cvitanović for comments on the manuscript. This work was supported by the Swedish Natural Science Research Council (NFR) under contract no. F-FU 06420-303.

References

- [1] Y. G. Sinai, *Dynamical Systems with Elastic reflections*, Russ. Math. Surv. **25**, 137, (1979).
- [2] B. Friedman, Y. Oono and I. Kubo, *Universal behaviour of Sinai billiard systems in the small-scatterer limit*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **52**, 709, (1984).
- [3] N. I. Chernov, *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **25**, 204, (1991).
- [4] H. van Beijeren, J. R. Dorfman, *Lyapunov Exponents and Kolmogorov-Sinai Entropy for the Lorentz Gas at Low Densities*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 4412 (1995).
- [5] L. M. Abramov, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR* **226**, 128, (1959).
- [6] M. Sieber and F. Steiner, *Physica D* **44**, 248 (1990).
- [7] G. T. Williams and D. H. Browne, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **54**, 534 (1947), P. Cvitanović, B. Shraiman and B. Söderberg, *Physica Scripta* **32**, 263 (1985).
- [8] H. Rademacher, *Lectures on Elementary Number Theory*, (Blaisdell Publishing Company, New York).
- [9] P. Dahlqvist, *Lyapunov exponents and anomalous diffusion of a Lorentz gas with infinite horizon using approximate zeta functions*, J. Stat. Phys. , to appear.
- [10] P. Dahlqvist, *Approximate zeta functions for the Sinai billiard and related systems*, Nonlinearity **8**,11 (1995).
- [11] P. Dahlqvist, R. Artuso, *On the decay of correlations in the Sinai billiards with infinite horizon*, in preparation.

Appendix

In this appendix we will present evidence that the parameter Δ , as defined in fig 3, is uniformly distributed between zero and unity.

Let $\mathbf{q}'(\mathbf{q})$ be the mother (cf. section 2.3.1) of $\mathbf{q}$ and $\mathbf{q}''(\mathbf{q})$ its father. Further, let $\Delta'(\mathbf{q})$ be the Δ -variable (see fig. 3) associated with the mother and similarly with the father. Let now $F_r(\Delta)$ be the (probability) distribution of all Δ 's associated with all coprime lattice points $\mathbf{q}$ such that $q = |\mathbf{q}| < r$

$$N(r) \cdot F_r(\Delta) = \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \theta(r - q) \frac{1}{2} (\delta_\omega(\Delta - \Delta'(\mathbf{q})) + \delta_\omega(\Delta - \Delta''(\mathbf{q}))) \quad (76)$$

where ω is a smearing width to be defined later. As in section 2.3.3 we also assume smearing of the step function we do not specify it. Let us further define

$$d(r) \cdot f_r(\Delta) = \frac{d}{dr} N(r) \cdot F_r(\Delta) \quad (77)$$

where $F_r(\Delta)$ and $f_r(\Delta)$ will share their leading asymptotic behaviour.

Next we use the result of section 2.3.1 to write each $\mathbf{q}$ as either $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{q}'(\mathbf{q}_c) + n\mathbf{q}_c$ or $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{q}''(\mathbf{q}_c) + n\mathbf{q}_c$. Let us consider the entire sequence $\mathbf{q}_n = \mathbf{q}'(\mathbf{q}_c) + n\mathbf{q}_c$. Then $\mathbf{q}'(\mathbf{q}_n) = \mathbf{q}_{n-1}$ and $\mathbf{q}''(\mathbf{q}_n) = \mathbf{q}_c$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta'(\mathbf{q}_n) &= \frac{|\mathbf{q}_{n-1}|}{|\mathbf{q}_n|} + O(1/q_n^2) = \frac{\Delta'(\mathbf{q}_c) + n - 1}{\Delta'(\mathbf{q}_c) + n} + O(1/q_n^2) \\ \Delta''(\mathbf{q}_n) &= \frac{|\mathbf{q}_c|}{|\mathbf{q}_n|} + O(1/q_n^3) = \frac{1}{\Delta'(\mathbf{q}_c) + n} + O(1/q_n^3) \\ q_n &= (\Delta'(\mathbf{q}_c) + n)q_c + O(1/(nq_c^3)) \end{aligned} \quad (78)$$

And we can write

$$N(r) \cdot F_r(\Delta) = \sum_{\mathbf{q}_c} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \theta(r - (\Delta'(\mathbf{q}_c) + n)q_c + O(1/(nq_c^3))) \cdot \quad (79)$$

$$\left\{ \delta_\omega\left(\Delta - \frac{\Delta'(\mathbf{q}_c) + n - 1}{\Delta'(\mathbf{q}_c) + n} + O(1/(nq_c^2))\right) + \delta_\omega\left(\Delta - \frac{1}{\Delta'(\mathbf{q}_c) + n} + O(1/(nq_c^2))\right) \right\}$$

where we have used the fact that the sequence $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{q}''(\mathbf{q}_c) + n\mathbf{q}_c$ give rise to an equivalent term.

Next we insert the identities

$$1 = \int \delta(r' - q_c) dr' \quad (80)$$

$$1 = \int_0^1 \delta_\omega(\Delta' - \Delta'(\mathbf{q}_c)) d\Delta' \quad (81)$$

After some work we arrive at

$$N(r) \cdot F_r(\Delta) = \int dr' \int_2^\infty dy \theta(r - yr') d(r') f_{r'}(y \bmod 1) \cdot \quad (82)$$

$$\left\{ \delta_\omega\left(\Delta - \frac{y - 1}{y} + O(1/r'^2)\right) + \delta_\omega\left(\Delta - \frac{1}{y} + O(1/r'^2)\right) \right\}$$

We now try to solve this integral equation asymptotically. We may restrict ourselves to the case $\Delta < 1/2$ since $P_r(\Delta)$ is symmetric around $\Delta = 1/2$. We then only need to consider the second delta-function in (82). We consider now only the contribution from lattice points $r_1 < q < r_2$ where $r_1 \sim r_2 \sim r$ is of the same order of magnitude. To get rid of the error term in the argument of the delta function we need to take $\omega = O(1/r^2)$

$$\begin{aligned} & N(r_2) \cdot F_{r_2}(\Delta) - N(r_1) \cdot F_{r_1}(\Delta) \\ &= \int dr' \int_2^\infty dy (\theta(r_2 - yr') - \theta(r_1 - yr')) d(r') f_{r'}(y \bmod 1) \delta_\omega(\Delta - \frac{1}{y}) \end{aligned} \quad (83)$$

We insert the leading asymptotic solutions $N \sim 6r^2/\pi$ and $d \sim 12r/\pi$ and seek the leading distribution $F_r(\Delta) \sim f_r(\Delta) \sim \rho(\Delta)$. The integral equation then gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{6r_2^2}{\pi} - \frac{6r_1^2}{\pi} \right) \rho(\Delta) \\ &= \left(\frac{6r_2^2}{\pi} - \frac{6r_1^2}{\pi} \right) \int_0^{1/2} dx \delta_\omega(\Delta - x) \rho(1/x \bmod 1) \end{aligned} \quad (84)$$

which is a smeared version of the functional equation

$$\rho(\Delta) = \rho(1/\Delta \bmod 1) \quad (85)$$

which combined with the symmetry constraint

$$\rho(1 - \Delta) = \rho(\Delta) \quad (86)$$

has the obvious solution $\rho(\Delta) = 1$.

What we have presented is of course not a complete proof but we have presented evidence that the distribution of the variable Δ obtained from all lattice points inside radius r tends uniformly to a uniform distribution when $r \rightarrow \infty$ provided that the smearing width $\omega = O(1/r^2)$.

Figure captions

Figure 1: a) The Farey tree. b) Part of the Farey tree corresponding to the sequence $\mathbf{q}_n = \mathbf{q}' + n\mathbf{q}_c$.

Figure 2: Definition of phase space variables use in section 2.3.2.

Figure 3: The geometry of the $\mathbf{q}_c$ corridor.